UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES AND ORDER THEREON

CASE NO.: 07cv2156-L (AJB) CASE TITLE: *Pulse-Link v. Tzero Technologies*
E-FILED DATE:12/6/2007 DOCKET NO.: 9
DOCUMENT TITLE: Renewed Joint Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Complaint
DOCUMENT FILED BY: Defendant

Upon the electronic filing of the above referenced document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

x	Civil Local Rule or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual provision (“ECF”)	Discrepancy
	ECF § 2(h)	Includes a proposed order or requires judge’s signature
X	ECF § 2(a), (g)	Docket entry does not accurately reflect the document(s) filed
	ECF § 2(g)	Multiple pleadings in one docket entry not separated out as attachments
	ECF § 2(f)	Lacking proper signature
	Civ. L. Rule 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1	Missing table of contents
	Civ. L. Rule 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
		OTHER:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

X	The document is accepted despite the discrepancy noted above. Any further non-compliant documents may be stricken from the record.
	The document is rejected. It is ordered that the Clerk **STRIKE** the document from the record, and serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual may lead to penalties pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.1.
DATED: December 7, 2007

M. James Lorenz
United States District Court Judge